RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 2 3 2005

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	09/496,170
Filing Date	2/1/05
First Named Inventor	Jeffrey Delaney
Art Unit	2645
Examiner Name	Allan Hoosain
Attorney Docket Number	EVVV-P001US

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

ENCLOSURES   *(Check all that apply)*

- [ ] Fee Transmittal Form
  - [ ] Fee Attached
- [x] Amendment/Reply
  - [ ] After Final
  - [ ] Affidavits/declaration(s)
- [ ] Extension of Time Request
- [ ] Express Abandonment Request
- [ ] Information Disclosure Statement
- [ ] Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
- [ ] Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application
  - [ ] Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

- [ ] Drawing(s)
- [ ] Licensing-related Papers
- [ ] Petition
- [ ] Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application
- [ ] Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
- [ ] Terminal Disclaimer
- [ ] Request for Refund
- [ ] CD, Number of CD(s) _____
  - [ ] Landscape Table on CD

- [ ] After Allowance Communication to TC
- [ ] Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
- [ ] Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
- [ ] Proprietary Information
- [ ] Status Letter
- [ ] Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):

Remarks

Supplemental to the Repsonse filed 3/22/05, page 9, missing from that Response is attached hereto.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Miele Law Group
Signature	
Printed name	Anthony L. Miele
Date	3/23/05
Reg. No.	34,393

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature	
Typed or printed name	Anthony L. Miele
Date	3/23/05

No. 6,393,107) in view of Sonnenfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,112,049). Claims 78-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Ball et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,393,107).

On February 16, 2005, a telephone interview was conducted. Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the undersigned and Carol Forsyth during that telephone interview. During that interview, the Examiner made suggestions that he believed would overcome the art applied to reject the claims prior to the present amendment. Specifically, the Examiner suggested that the rejection of independent claim 1 would be overcome if it were amended to include one of the following phrases:

- That the recited delivery management mechanism comprises "a transaction server, a database, a scheduler, and a message queue, wherein the transaction server accesses the database, the scheduler, and the message queue".

- That the recited delivery management mechanism comprises "a transaction server, a database, a scheduler, and a message queue, wherein customer address books in the database are selectively updated by the customers or passively when messages are sent".

- That the recited delivery management mechanism comprises "a transaction server, a database, a scheduler, and a message queue, wherein the scheduler ".

In accordance with the first suggestion of the Examiner, Applicants amended each of independent claims 63, 69, and 78 to recite, among other features, delivery management mechanism comprising a transaction server, a database, a scheduler, and a

9